ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ. ನಾನು ಆಗಲೇ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಈ ವಿಚಾರ ಬಂದ ಕೂಡಲೇ ವಿಭಾಗಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ತನಿಖೆ ಮಾಡಿ ವರದಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸುವಂತೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ ಅವರಿಂದ ವರದಿ ಬಂದ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿಜ್. ವಿಲ್. ತಿಮ್ಮೇಗೌಡ —ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕ್ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಮಂಡಳಿಯವರು ೫೦.೦೦೦ ರೂ.ಗಳು ಬೆಲೆ ಬಾಳುವ ಪೀಠೋಪಕರಣಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡುಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರೆ. ೫೬.೫೫೬ ರೂ.ಗಳಷ್ಟು ಹಣದ ವಸ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಾವು ಏನು ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ನಾನು ಆಗಲೇ ಹೇಳಿದ ಹಾಗೆ ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೂಲಂಕಷವಾಗಿ ತನಿಖೆ ನಡೆಸಿ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಕೊಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಡಿವಿಜನಲ್ ಕಮಿಷನರ್‌ರವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ವಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರ ರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—೫೬ ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ೪೩ ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಬಟವಾಡೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಇನ್ನು ಉಳಿದ ೧೩ ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಗಳನ್ನು ತನಿಖೆ ಮುಗಿದ ನಂತರ ಬಟವಾಡೆ ಮಾಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಿರ್ದೇಶನವನ್ನು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಅದನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ನಂ. ೧೬೯ ಶ್ರೀ ಐ.ಪಿ.ಡಿ. ಸಾಲಪ್ಪ ಮೆಂಬರು ಗೈರು ಹಾಜರಿ.

Functioning of Khadaklat T.P.C. in Chikodi Taluk,

282 SRI B. B. SAYANAK (Belgaum).—Will the Minister for Rural Development and Panchayath Raj be pleased to state.—

(a) whether the Khadaklat TPC in Chikodi Taluk in Belgaum District is not functioning for the last one year ;

(b) if so, the reasons for the same ;

(c) the reasons why Government has not superseded that TPC so far, whether it is a fact that the Secretary of that Panchayat Committee is transferred in the month of October 1980 ;

(d) the reasons for his transfer ?

SRI B. RAMAIAH (Minister for Rural Development and Panchayat Raj).—

(a) Yes.

(b) (1) 10 out of 19 Members of the Town Panchayat have tendered resignation for the membership. out of which 2 resignations have been accepted and the others 8 are still pending as the Secretary failed to follow the proper procedure in respect of them.

(2) The Secretary failed to convene meetings periodically in spite of instructions of the Chairman and is reported to have failed to co-operative with the Chairman and members of the Town Panchayat in securing its proper functioning.

(c) and (d) The proposal of superseding the Town Panchayat is under examination by the Divisional Commissioner, Belgaum. The Secretary of the Panchayat has been transferred during October 1980 and further disciplinary action is under consideration for reason o his gross misconduct. f

SRI B. B. SAYANAK.—Sir, he has stated in the answer to (b) "10 of the 19 Members of the Town Panchayat have tendered resignation for the membership." These ten Members have submitted their resignation on one date. Why only two resignations have been accepted ? Why other resignations were not accepted ?

SRI B. RAMAIAH —In this particular case, the panchayat Secretary did a mischief. The moment they tendered the resignations, two are procedurally correct. Six are not. The Goverument has taken cognizance of it immediately, and effected transfer of that Secretary to other place. Inspite of it, he approached the High Court and brought the stay and again that has been vacated and now they have effected the transfer. Again, he is trying for stay. The High Court did not allow the writ petition. We have transferred him. We are going to take action immediately to correct this. The Government have come to what is going on in this Panchayat and have taken immediate known cognizance of it. I am going to proceed against him.

SRI B. .B. SAYANAK.—My question is that ten members of the Town Panchayat have resigned on the same date. Why only two members' resignations have been accepted and why not the other 8 members resignations ?

SRI B. RAMAIAH.—I have already clarified the matter that eight have definitely tendered resignations. Out of which two are procedurally correct and six are wrong. For that only there has been some delay.

SRI B. B. SAYANAK.—He is giving evasive answer. I will repeat the answer to (b).

> "10 of the 19 members of the town panchayat have tendered resignations for the membership.... ."

The Minister is always saying that eight members have given resignations and out of which two have been accepted. This is not correct. I am specifically asking, why only two members resignations were accepted and why not the other eight ?

SRI B. RAMAIAH.—The procedure followed by the two members are correct. The rest of them are not correct. For that I am going to take action immediately. I have already taken steps in this regard.

SRI B. B. SAYANAK.—This Secretary is working there at Khadaklat since 1952. Was there any action taken against that man upto 1975 ? Why action was taken only in the year 1977 ?

SRI B. RAMAIAH.—The Government has received certain petitions against him and also complaints too. Therefore the Government effected his transfer. He approached the High Court, and I have already clarified about that matter. Now that petition has been dismissed. He continued there for sometime. We have again effected his transfer. Still he is trying. The subsequent petition has also been dismissed. The Government has taken very strict measure. He has also brought some political pressure for retention. I have asked the Divisional Commissioner to take stringent measure against this attitude.

SRI B. B. SAYANAK.—My question is specific, I am asking you again. This Secretary was working since 1952. There was no allegation against that man upto 1977. If there were some allegations in between 1952 and 1977, he would have been transferred. But only after 1977, there was allegation because the Chairman insisted that man to follow certain procedure which he has not followed. That is why there is tussle.

SRI B. RAMAIAH.—We have received a resolution which was passed in the meeting unanimously. This Secretary did not cooperate with them throughout. The Chairman asked the Secretary to convene a meeting. He did not respond to that. That is why we have received complaints and so we effected the transfer of that Secretary.

SRI B. B. SAYANAK.—Sri Divakar Hardas is a reputed man. He has gone to Belgaum. He met the Divisional Commissioner along with me. We have requested the Divisional Commissioner to suspend this Khadaklat Panchayat. He has accepted our views. Why action has not been taken to supersede the panchayat ?

SRI B. RAMAIAH.—The procedure will have to be followed. Any how, he has brought it to our notice. We will consider.

SRI B. B. SAYANAK.—You have stated that the proposal for superseding the town panchayat is under consideration. This Panchayat is not functioning for more than a year. Why have you not superseded this panchayat ? There is a complaint against this Chairman. What is the objection ?

MR. SPEAKER.—The answer was that some procedure will have to be followed.

SRI B. B. SAYANAK.—No Sir, he said that the matter is under examination. I want to know when you are going to take action against this panchayat ?

SRI B RAMAIAH.—I have clearly stated that there are certain procedures to be followed. We are following them. The moment I get this report, favourable or not, I will consider. It is not easy to order from here for supersession I must follow certain procedures.

Acquisition of land for the Construction of Bus Stand at Kunigal.

363. SRI D.T. MAYANNA (Huliyurdurga).—Will the Minister for Transport and Minor Irrigation, be pleased to state :—

(a) the number of years passed, and the number of acres of land acquired and taken possession for the construction of administrative building, bus stand in Kunigal, Tumkur district by K.S.R.T.C.;

(b) the amount of income received by the Government and the K.S.R.T.C. from the trees on this land every year;

(c) the number of days required by the K.S.R.T.C. to construct the administrative office building and bus stand ?

SRI M. M. J. SADYOJATHA (Minister for Transport and Minor Irrigation).—

(a) The Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation has taken possession of 4 acres of land on 17th March 1969.

(b)

Year		Income
1970		No income
From November 1970 to March 1972	...	245-00
During 1972-73	...	655-00
During 1973-74	...	655-00
During 1974-75	...	1,050-00
From April to November 1975	...	No income on account of stay order from the Court.